	Case 2:23-cv-01145-KJN Document 1	19 Filed 12/04/23	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	FORREST KENDRID,	No. 2:23-cv-114	5 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	YAHIYA, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16	-		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se, in an action brought under 42 U.S.C.		
18	§ 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require		
19	counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist.		
20	Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney		
21	to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d		
22	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).		
23	When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's		
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro		
25	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>Palmer v. Valdez</u> , 560 F.3d 965, 970		
26	(9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The		
27	burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. <u>Id.</u> Circumstances		
28	common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not		

Case 2:23-cv-01145-KJN Document 19 Filed 12/04/23 Page 2 of 2

establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. In the pending motion, plaintiff alleges that he suffers from a disability which makes it difficult for him to read, write and understand material regarding this action. Plaintiff also alleges that he is medically bedbound and has a reading grade level of 0.7. Plaintiff also alleges that he was found not guilty by reason of insanity. While the undersigned is sympathetic to plaintiff's alleged disabilities, the record reflects that plaintiff has competently prosecuted the instant action. In addition, at this stage of the litigation, the undersigned cannot determine the likelihood of plaintiff's success on the merits. Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel at this time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 15) is denied without prejudice. Dated: December 4, 2023 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE kend1145.31.kjn